

King Missouri River Amendment:

Directs the Secretary of Agriculture to take action to promote immediate increased flood protection for for agricultural interests in the Missouri River basin. Specifically, directs the Secretary to supports recalculation of the amount of storage space within the Missouri River Management system dedicated to flood control, and to support increasing the River's channel capacity.

AMENDMENT TO H.R. _____
OFFERED BY MR. KING OF IOWA
(Farm Bill Amendment)

At the end of title XII, insert the following new section:

1 **SEC. 12308. INCREASED PROTECTION FOR AGRICULTURAL**
2 **INTERESTS IN THE MISSOURI RIVER BASIN.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) Record runoff occurred in the Missouri
5 River basin during 2011 as a result of historic rain-
6 fall over portions of the upper basin coupled with
7 heavy plains and mountain snowpack.

8 (2) Runoff above Sioux City, Iowa, during the
9 5-month period of March through July totaled an es-
10 timated 48.4 million acre-feet (referred to in this
11 section as “MAF”). This runoff volume was more
12 than 20 percent greater than the design storm for
13 the Missouri River Mainstem Reservoir System (re-
14 ferred to in this section as “System”), which was
15 based on the 1881 runoff of 40.0 MAF during the
16 same 5-month period.

17 (3) During the 2011 runoff season, nearly 61
18 million acre feet of water entered the Missouri River

1 system, far surpassing the previous record of 49
2 MAF in runoff that was set during the flood of
3 1997.

4 (4) Given the incredible amount of water enter-
5 ing the reservoir system, the summer months were
6 spent working to evacuate as much water from the
7 reservoir system as possible, ultimately leading to
8 record high water releases from Gavins Point Dam
9 of 160,000 cubic feet per second, a rate that more
10 than doubled the previous release record of 70,000
11 cubic feet per second set in 1997.

12 (5) For nearly four months, these extremely
13 high releases from Gavins Point were maintained,
14 resulting in severe and sustained flooding, with
15 much of western Iowa and eastern Nebraska as well
16 as portions of South Dakota, Kansas, and Missouri
17 inundated by a flooding river three to five feet deep,
18 up to 11 miles wide, and flowing at a rate of 4 to
19 11 miles per hour.

20 (6) Thousands of homes and businesses were
21 damaged or destroyed and hundreds of millions of
22 dollars in damage was done to roads and other pub-
23 lic infrastructure.

1 (7) In addition to the homes, businesses, and
2 infrastructure impacted by the flooding, hundreds of
3 thousands of acres of cropland were affected.

4 (8) The Department of Agriculture has esti-
5 mated that 400,000 to 500,000 acres of some of the
6 most productive crop land in the world was flooded
7 in 2011.

8 (9) Local Farm Services Agency representatives
9 have estimated that \$82,100,000 was lost in 2011
10 alone due to damaged or lost crops and unplanted
11 acres.

12 (10) Not only did the flooding eliminate the
13 2011 crop, but it is highly unlikely that many farm-
14 ers will be able to put this land back into production
15 at any point in the near future.

16 (11) Producers will have to contend with large
17 piles of sand, silt, and other debris that have been
18 deposited in their fields, meaning the impact of this
19 flood will be felt in the agricultural communities up
20 and down the river for many, many years to come.

21 (12) Currently, the amount of storage capacity
22 in the reservoir system that is set aside for flood
23 control is based upon the vacated space required to
24 control the 1881 flood, because prior to the 2011

1 flood, the 1881 flood was seen as the “high water
2 mark”.

3 (13) Given the historic flooding that took place
4 in 2011, it is clear that that year’s flooding now rep-
5 resents a new “high water mark”, surpassing the
6 flooding of even the 1881 flood.

7 (14) It is important that the flood control re-
8 lated functions of the System management be ad-
9 justed to reflect the reality of the 2011 flood as the
10 new “worst case scenario” for flooding along the
11 Missouri River.

12 (15) System management may begin to be ad-
13 justed to account for the 2011 flood through a recal-
14 culation of the amount of storage space within the
15 System that is allocated to flood control, using the
16 model not of the 1881 flood, but of the greatest
17 flood experienced—the flood of 2011.

18 (16) As a result of the flooding in 2011, many
19 States received disaster declarations from the De-
20 partment of Agriculture to help farmers and pro-
21 ducers recover from the damage done by the high
22 water.

23 (17) Though helpful, even the assistance pro-
24 vided by the Department of Agriculture will not pro-
25 vide many in the agriculture community with the re-

1 sources to put their land back into production any
2 time soon.

3 (18) Without the protection that will come from
4 a fundamental change in the reservoir System's
5 flood control storage allocations, farmers, producers,
6 and other agricultural interests who may be in a po-
7 sition to restart their operations will find it difficult
8 to justify doing so, given the fact that they will not
9 be protected from similar flooding in the future.

10 (b) UPDATED MANAGEMENT OF THE MISSOURI
11 RIVER TO PROTECT AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS.—In
12 order to strengthen the agricultural economy, revitalize
13 the rural communities, and conserve the natural resources
14 of the Missouri River basin, the Congress directs that the
15 Secretary of Agriculture take action to promote immediate
16 increased flood protection to farmers, producers, and other
17 agricultural interests in the Missouri River basin by work-
18 ing within its jurisdiction to support efforts—

19 (1) to recalculate the amount of space within
20 the System that is allocated to flood control storage
21 using the 2011 flood as the model; and

22 (2) to increase the River's channel capacity be-
23 tween the reservoirs and below Gavins Point.

